Bob Brady for Congress

P.O. Box 22471 Philadelphia, PA 19110-2471 August 16, 2000 (215) 665-9047 (215) 665-9051

(215) 665-9078

CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Robin Kelly Reports Analyst Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Re: April Quarterly Report (3/16/2000 - 3/31/2000)

Dear Ms. Kelly:

I am writing in response to your July 25, 2000 letter (see enclosed copy). In your letter you have raised a question about three PAC contributions and two 48 hour notice contributions (see enclosed copy of report pages as sent with your letter).

The three PAC contributions in question are two from Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell PAC dated 3/13/2000 and 3/27/2000; and one from East Coast Mechanical Contractors dated 3/29/2000. The Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell contribution dated 3/27/2000 is correct. This was sent via a 48-hour notice, to the FEC incorrectly under an individual named Martin Weinberg. Accordingly, your question on this item should be rectified with this clarification.

The other Obermayer receipt is from the firm's PAC and is correctly reported for their 3/13/2000 contribution. The contribution dated 3/29/2000 from East Coast Mechanical was one that we refunded on the same report. This was from an organization that we believe to be a corporate entity; which warranted our refund check. The report page showing this refund has been attached.

Your second question regarding a 48 hour notice item pertaining to a Diane Giungo dated 3/30/00, was also included on our report. The 48 hour fax notice should have stated that the contribution came from Joseph J. Giungo, instead of from Diane. This was a clerical error on our part in preparation of our 48 hour fax notice. The report version of this contribution is correctly stated on our report.

Per our telephone discussion with Adam Ragan on August 8, 2000, he indicated that these items could be rectified through explanation via letter and forwarding copies of this documentation to you for your records. Mr. Ragan also indicated that an amendment to our report would not be necessary for these items.

2000 AUG 21 P 12: 0.6